



2020-21 NTCA NATIONAL WOMENS BOWLING INDIVIDUAL STATISTICAL LEADERS

THRU 12/01/20

PLAYER COMPOSITE PERFORMANCE INDEX (PCPI)

The Player Composite Performance Index is a measure that combines the identified categories "percentage of competitors that a bowler has outperformed" within that category. The calculation of the PCPI categories are weighted (SEE FIGURE BELOW CATEGORY). A player that ranks first in a category is given a PCP of 100%. The PCP then is reduced as a % of the number of eligible bowlers for each positional change. The INDEX calculates the percentage of bowlers that a bowler outperforms across the category weightings. It does not consider the magnitude in the actual category performance differences between the athletes. Values of "NR" indicate a player falls short of minimum attempts required to be considered.

RANK	PLAYER	SCHOOL	FRAMES	% of Team Frames	PCPI	OVER ALL AVG	TRAD AVG	BAKER AVG	NORM OVER ALL AVG	NORM TRAD AVG	NORM BAKER AVG	BAKER DBL %	10TH FRAME AVG (ALL)	FILL %	STRIKE %	1ST BALL "9+" %	AVG 1ST BALL	OVER-ALL SPARE %	MAKE-ABLE SPARE %	SINGLE PIN SPARE %	SPLIT LEAVE %
						0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	5.0%	15.0%	10.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	10.0%	5.0%	5.0%
1	DENISE BLANKENZEE	SAM HOUSTON STATE	200	100.0%	95.112%	212.6	214.8	210.8	212.5	214.7	210.8	55.6%	21.33	88.5%	56.5%	78.5%	9.19	73.6%	84.9%	93.2%	7.0%
2	MABEL CUMMINS	VANDERBILT	184	88.5%	90.285%	206.3	217.3	195.3	205.9	217.2	194.6	58.1%	22.45	85.9%	51.6%	76.6%	9.14	70.8%	86.8%	91.3%	11.4%
3	REBECCA HAGERMAN	MCKENDREE	104	77.6%	88.003%	204.2	204.8	203.6	204.0	205.0	203.1	57.1%	22.57	84.6%	49.0%	73.1%	8.99	69.8%	78.3%	96.0%	6.7%
4	SAMANTHA GAINOR	VANDERBILT	206	99.0%	87.757%	200.4	195.3	204.4	200.4	195.2	204.5	52.7%	17.27	89.8%	45.1%	77.2%	9.07	81.4%	88.1%	93.9%	5.8%
5	BAILEY DELROSE	MARYVILLE	136	100.0%	87.106%	203.9	206.5	201.8	203.7	206.7	201.4	50.0%	20.58	86.0%	52.2%	75.7%	9.07	70.8%	81.8%	87.5%	7.4%
6	ELISE CHAMBERS	SAM HOUSTON STATE	182	91.0%	85.118%	204.4	204.6	204.2	204.2	204.6	203.9	50.0%	21.88	84.6%	50.0%	70.9%	8.87	69.2%	84.7%	86.8%	10.4%
7	ALLIE LEIENDECKER	LOUISIANA TECH	202	100.0%	82.291%	201.6	204.7	199.1	201.6	204.6	199.1	50.0%	18.30	84.2%	50.5%	73.8%	8.94	68.0%	82.3%	93.6%	10.4%
8	HAYLEY CONNELLY	SAM HOUSTON STATE	200	100.0%	82.123%	198.6	194.4	202.1	198.5	194.3	202.1	42.9%	23.44	85.0%	46.5%	62.5%	8.72	72.0%	83.3%	100.0%	8.5%
9	ANGELIQUE DALESANDRO	VANDERBILT	146	70.2%	82.087%	200.0	210.3	190.3	199.0	210.3	188.4	48.7%	17.29	85.6%	45.9%	69.9%	8.93	73.4%	88.9%	97.1%	11.0%
10	HOPE GRAMLY	MCKENDREE	134	100.0%	81.636%	205.4	210.3	201.5	205.3	210.5	201.0	45.5%	19.39	82.8%	54.5%	76.9%	9.10	62.3%	82.2%	90.0%	11.9%
11	CAROLINE THESIER	VANDERBILT	196	94.2%	81.543%	199.3	196.7	201.6	199.2	196.6	201.4	54.9%	20.19	84.7%	44.9%	70.4%	8.88	72.2%	78.6%	86.0%	5.1%
12	SARAH GILL	STEPHEN F AUSTIN	237	99.6%	81.473%	202.9	193.9	209.6	203.8	193.7	211.2	48.1%	20.00	83.5%	52.3%	74.3%	9.03	65.5%	78.3%	88.5%	8.9%
13	KAITLYN EDER	LOUISIANA TECH	182	90.1%	81.376%	204.8	219.6	190.1	205.3	219.5	191.2	46.7%	23.89	82.4%	53.3%	73.1%	9.01	62.4%	81.5%	88.9%	11.0%
14	AMANDA NAUJOKAS	VANDERBILT	101	48.6%	81.160%	199.5	199.4	199.7	200.7	199.5	203.6	22.2%	20.71	89.1%	41.6%	77.2%	9.06	81.4%	87.3%	88.9%	4.0%
15	CARLENE BEYER	STEPHEN F AUSTIN	237	99.6%	81.109%	197.1	186.5	204.9	198.0	186.3	206.5	52.8%	20.62	83.5%	46.0%	70.0%	8.86	69.5%	82.4%	94.7%	8.4%
16	KEAGAN TREXLER	MARYVILLE	127	93.4%	79.624%	196.9	192.0	200.9	196.7	192.1	200.4	50.0%	21.67	85.8%	43.3%	67.7%	8.78	75.0%	81.0%	90.3%	7.1%
17	MADYSON MARX	YOUNGSTOWN STATE	136	100.0%	78.649%	195.9	186.2	203.8	195.7	186.3	203.3	71.4%	16.17	84.6%	44.9%	74.3%	9.02	72.0%	88.5%	87.5%	10.3%
18	BEA HERNANDEZ	SAM HOUSTON STATE	200	100.0%	78.530%	198.3	198.2	198.4	198.2	198.1	198.3	44.1%	20.27	84.0%	49.0%	74.0%	9.03	68.6%	77.4%	90.0%	9.0%
19	PATRICIA ROSALES	PRAIRIE VIEW A&M	203	99.5%	76.395%	194.0	193.4	194.5	194.0	193.3	194.5	48.9%	19.00	83.7%	45.8%	67.5%	8.81	70.0%	84.9%	93.2%	11.8%
20	ASHLEY CHANNELL	LOUISIANA TECH	189	93.6%	76.304%	194.5	185.8	200.5	194.5	185.8	200.5	43.8%	21.36	83.6%	44.4%	70.9%	8.94	70.5%	87.1%	92.0%	10.6%
21	DANIELLE JEDLICKI	LOUISIANA TECH	188	93.1%	76.281%	199.5	192.1	204.5	199.4	191.9	204.5	36.4%	17.71	83.5%	51.6%	78.7%	9.15	65.9%	79.7%	92.2%	9.0%
22	MORGAN GITLITZ	TULANE	106	100.0%	73.559%	191.3	207.3	181.7	193.5	206.6	185.6	27.3%	19.47	84.9%	41.5%	71.7%	8.91	74.2%	83.6%	90.6%	6.6%
23	ERIN BICCOCHI	LEWIS UNIVERSITY	138	100.0%	72.386%	196.5	195.3	197.5	196.4	195.5	197.1	26.9%	19.88	84.8%	46.4%	59.4%	8.78	71.6%	81.0%	83.3%	8.0%
24	JENNIFER LOREDO	VANDERBILT	90	43.3%	71.958%	189.4	181.8	195.8	191.3	181.1	199.8	57.9%	17.00	82.2%	47.8%	70.0%	8.88	66.0%	83.8%	90.0%	11.1%
25	ISABELLA CORREA SARMIENTO	MCKENDREE	124	92.5%	70.785%	191.4	197.7	185.3	191.2	197.8	184.9	37.0%	25.00	83.1%	41.9%	71.0%	8.87	70.8%	83.3%	86.1%	9.7%